



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,811	05/31/2000	Daniel Joseph Melchione	NAI11P004/00.006.01	4296
28875	7590 02/15/2005		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotal P.O. BOX 7	•	GURSHMAN, GRIGORY		
SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2132	

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/585,811	MELCHIONE, DANIEL JOSEPH				
Authory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Grigory Gurshman	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s)					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: see		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3 - 7, 9 - 13, 15 - 18 and 24 - 29	_•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<del>2</del> . /				
10. Other:	6lberts	JAC NI				
	GILBERTO BARRO SUPERVISORY PATENT E TECHNOLOGY CENTE	V-VIAIII.				

No after final amendment has been submitted by Applicant. Applicant's arguments with reagrd to the pending claims 1, 3 - 7, 9 -13, 15 - 18 and 24 - 29, have been thoroughly considered, but do not place the application in condition for allowance for the following reasons:

Applicant's main argument is directed to the limitation "identifying a process for accessing files". Applicant states that this limitation is not tough in Ranger, since Ranger teaches only determining whether digital input information is encrypted (see col 2, lines 25-28). With reagrd to this argument examiner states that finding out if the infromation is encrypted allows to track the decryption process (i.e file accessing process), which is associated with the virus.

With regard to the arguments directed to the combination of references, examiner points out that Ji teaches determining whether the file to be transferred is of a type that can contain viruses. This step is preferably performed by checking the extension of the file name. For example, .txt, .bmd, .pcx and .gif extension files indicate that the file is not likely to contain viruses while .exe, .zip, and .com extension files are of the type that often contain viruses (see column 7, lines 35-40). Examiner maintains that the combination of Ranger with Ji renders the claimed invention obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the content analyzer by adding the functionality for checking whether the process for accessing the file is carried out by an executable file within an application as taught in Ji for scanning the files for viruses (see Ji abstract).